



1300 NORTH 17th STREET, 11th FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22209

OFFICE: (703) 812-0400
FAX: (703) 812-0486
www.fhhlaw.com
www.commlawblog.com

KATHLEEN VICTORY
703-812-0473
VICTORY@FHHLAW.COM

April 21, 2020

VIA EMAIL
Victoria McCauley
Attorney Advisor
Audio Division, Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: Station KXRS, Hemet, California Facility ID 36829
File No. BPH-20040205AAK, as modified**

Dear Ms. McCauley:

On behalf of Lazer Licenses, LLC ("Lazer"), licensee of station KXRS, Hemet, California, this is to request continuation of tolling with respect to the above-referenced construction permit. The next tolling update is due by April 22, 2020. This extension request is predicated on an appeal of the approval by the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors ("BOS") of Lazer's plans to construct KXRS at the site specified in the referenced construction permit.

As reported in Lazer's previous tolling update requests (November 5, 2014, May 1, 2015, October 21, 2015, April 28, 2016, October 26, 2016, April 26, 2017, October 25, 2017, April 26, 2018, October 25, 2018, April 22, 2019, and October 21, 2019), the case is still subject to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of the State of California for San Bernardino in Case No. CIVDS 1213273. On October 1, 2013, as a result of an appeal by a local citizens' group, the Superior Court vacated the decision of the BOS approving the permits needed for construction of the station and remanded the case to the BOS for preparation of an additional environmental impact report. The remand decision was appealed by Lazer, but the appeal was unsuccessful. The San Bernardino County planning staff developed the additional environmental report required by the Superior Court. The report was subject to public comment and then to approval by the BOS and the Superior Court. On April 18, 2017, Lazer's application for a Conditional Use Permit ("CUP") came up for hearing before the BOS. The BOS, on a 2 to 2 vote, did not certify the additional environmental report. The BOS instead requested that Lazer again go before the Planning Commission. On November 9, 2017, the Planning Commission approved Lazer's application. On May 1, 2018, the BOS approved Lazer's application. On May 28, 2018,

the local citizen's group filed a Supplemental Petition for Writ of Mandate in connection with the action, which requested a stay and vacation of the Lazer approvals. On August 31, 2018, Lazer and the County filed a Motion to Discharge Writ of Mandate and a Demurrer to the Supplemental Petition which the Petitioner opposed. On May 17, 2019, the court heard oral argument and continued the case to July 19, 2019. The July 19, 2019 hearing was continued until August 9, 2019. At the August 9th hearing, the court sought additional briefs from the parties and set a further hearing for September 27, 2019. At the September 27, 2019 hearing, the court heard oral argument from both parties on the scope of the remedy and set a further hearing on the case for December 19, 2019. The court left the stay in place.

Since our last update, the Court continued the December 19, 2019 hearing to January 7, 2020. At the January 7, 2020 hearing, the Judge confirmed that he received Lazer's Proposed Judgment/Writ but indicated that he needed more time to review it. On January 24, 2020, the Court approved Lazer's Proposed Judgment without changes. Lazer next went before the Board of Supervisors on March 23, 2020 to decertify limited portions of the EIR and the County is currently revising the EIR consistent with the terms of the Judgment/Writ. Once the EIR revision has been completed, the EIR must be re-circulated for 45 days and presented to the Board of Supervisors for recertification. Once the EIR is recertified, it will be filed with the Court and, at that time, a new hearing date will be set.


It appears that there has been forward movement but, due to Covid-19 and the fact of the substantial California shut-down and the fact that the Court is not holding hearings, Lazer cannot be certain what the timeline looks like. Lazer hopes that the Board of Supervisors meetings will not be cancelled. We will continue to keep the Commission apprised of developments.

Thus, pursuant to Section 73.3598(b)(2) of the rules, tolling should continue until the court's review has been completed.

Please note that this is being submitted at your suggestion by email given the Covid19 circumstances.

Should any questions arise concerning this matter, please communicate with the undersigned.

Very truly yours,



Kathleen Victory
FCC Counsel for Lazer Licenses, LLC