FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 445 TWELFTH STREET, SW WASHINGTON, DC 20554

MEDIA BUREAU AUDIO DIVISION APPLICATION STATUS: (202) 418-2730 HOME PAGE: www.fcc.gov/media/radio/audio-division

AUG 2 9 2017

ENGINEER: GARY A. LOEHRS TELEPHONE: (202) 418-2700 FACSIMILE: (202) 418-1410/1411 MAIL STOP: 1800B3 INTERNET ADDRESS: Gary.Loehrs@fcc.gov

Santa Clarita Public Service Broadcasters Corp. 22450 Claremont Dr. Santa Clarita, CA 91350

Re:

KZNQ-LP, Santa Clarita, CA Facility ID No. 196311 Santa Clarita Public Broadcasting Corp. File No. BPL-20170718AGM

Dear Applicant:

This is in reference to the above application proposing a major change of transmitter location. For the reasons set forth herein, we will dismiss the application.

The Second Report & Order in MM Docket 99-25 established the definition of a "minor change" as it applies to LPFM applications.¹ The Commission stated that minor change applications would be limited to those specifying changes in site location of 5.6 kilometers or less. Thus, the instant application specifying a 13 kilometer change in site location violates Section 73.870 of the Commission's Rules.² Santa Clarita Public Broadcasting Corp. (SCPB) recognizes this violation and submitted a waiver request for the major move change stating that channel 260 has become available. In support of the waiver request, SCPB states that the move and a change to a non-adjacent channel would allow it avoid interference. The record before us does not present unique circumstances sufficient to justify grant of the waiver request. A move beyond 5.6 kilometers to find a non-adjacent channel to avoid ducting interference does not provide the basis for waiver of the major distance relocation and the major channel change rule. This can only be accomplished during a major change/new station filing window. We conclude that waiver of Section 73.870 is not warranted in this case.

The Commission's rules may be waived only for good cause shown.³ An applicant seeking a rule waiver has the burden to plead with particularity the facts and circumstances that warrant such action.⁴ The Commission must give waiver requests "a hard look," but an applicant for waiver "faces a high hurdle even at the starting gate"⁵ and must support its waiver request with a compelling showing.⁶ The Commission may exercise its

² 47 C.F.R. § 73.870.

³ 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

⁴ See Columbia Communications Corp. v. FCC, 832.F.2d 189, 192 (D.C. Cir, 1987) (citing Rio Grande Family Radio Fellowship, Inc. v. FCC, 406 F.2d 644, 666 (D.C. Cir. 1968)).

¹ See In the Matter of Creation of a Low Power Radio Service, Second Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 05-75, (rel. March 17, 2005).

discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest.⁷ In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis.⁸ However, waiver of the Commission's rules is appropriate only if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public interest.⁹ SCPB's request fails to present good cause for waiver of Section 73.870. SCPB has not shown sufficiently unique "special" circumstances, *i.e.*, rare and exceptional circumstances beyond its control to justify a waiver of Section 73.870. Finally, we find that the facts and circumstances set forth in the justification are insufficient to establish that granting waiver of Section 73.870 would be in the public interest.

Accordingly, in light of the above, application BPL-20170718AGM is unacceptable for filing and IS HEREBY DISMISSED. These actions are taken pursuant to Section 0.283 of the Commission's rules.¹⁰

Sincerely,

James D. Bradshaw Deputy Chief Audio Division Media Bureau

⁵ See WAIT Radio v. FCC, 418 F.2d 1153, 1157 (D.C. Cir. 1969), aff'd, 459 F.2d 1203 (1972), cert. denied, 93 S.Ct. 461 (1972) ("WAIT Radio"). See also Thomas Radio v. FCC, 716 F.2d 921, 924 (D.C. Cir. 1983).

⁶ Greater Media Radio Co., Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 15 FCC Rcd 7090 (1999) (citing Stoner Broadcasting System, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 49 FCC 2d 1011, 1012 (1974)).

⁷ Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) ("Northeast Cellular").

⁸ WAIT Radio, 418 F.2d at 1159; Northeast Cellular, 897 F.2d at 1166.

⁹ Network IP, LLC v. FCC, 548 F.3d 116, 125-128 (D.C. Cir. 2008) ("Network IP"); Northeast Cellular, 897 F.2d at 1166.

¹⁰ 47 C.F.R. § 0.283.