950 AM

BROADCAST FACILITIL DIVISION MAR 5 1982 AM BRANCH

2620 Sunset Blvd. P.O. Box 1798/Steubenville, Ohio 43952 (614) 264-7771

February 22, 1982

Mr. Thomas Johnson Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

Gentlemen:

We are in receipt of your letter dated February 3, reference # 8910-GAF, granting the requested new operating parameters.

Please be advised that we have, as instructed, returned to power by direct method.

There is, however, an error in the new parameters assigned. The phase indication on Tower #4 should be 138 degrees, not 148 as indicated.

The error resulted from a typographical error on our Form 302. The secretary that typed the application from my handwritten data, apparently mis-read my 3 as a 4.

We are currently operating with the phase at 138. Unless we are notified to the contrary, we will continue with this phase.

It is requested that your records be adjusted and a corrected copy sent to my attention. We apologize for any inconvenience that this error has caused.

We have also encountered another problem. Our monitor point on the 346.4 radial must be changed. The point is located on private property. During the last 18 months the owner of the property has begun resisting our entry on her property. On November 10, 1981, we were advised, by the property owner's attorney, that we could no longer enter the property.

Since that date, we have been taking our monitor point readings at Point Number 19 of the 346.4 radial.

It is requested that this point be re-assigned as the monitor point. A detailed description, giving directions to this location is attached. Unless we are notified to the contrary, we will continue to use this point for monthly measurements, pending formal approval from your office.

22

February 22, 1982 FCC Page two

I have attached, as part of this request, a copy of the letter from the attorney. I have also included the readings from our last proof of performance relating to the 346.4 degree radial. The point #19 is the only accessable point that is not located on private property. (A photo of the point is included).

If you have any questions regarding this request, please communicate with me directly. I can be reached in Sumter, South Carolina at (803) 775-2321. The mailing address is P.O. Box 1269, Sumter, SC 29150.

Very truly yours, Keich Raymond F. Reich Technical Director

RR/tm

PROPOSED 346.4 MONITOR POINT WLIT - STEUBENVILLE

Direction of 346.4 true North. From transmitter building, proceed 0.1 mile on Carroll St turn left and proceed .48 mile on Finance Street, turn right and proceed 1.1 mile on Turkey Foot Run Road, turn left and proceed 1.8 mile on Wylie Ridge Road to junction of West Virginia Route 2, proceed North until you reach Ballantyne Road. Turn right on Ballantyne and proceed approximately 1 mile. At one mile, look overhead for power lines crossing road. From the powerlines, walk back 300 Ft. to monitor point. The Intensity should not exceed 3.55 mv/m.



	Field Intensity	Measurements - 34	6.4 Radial	Date:	5/12/81 ·
	POINT	DISTANCE	<u>1981</u>	ORIGINAL	RATIO
	18	2.24	1.5	1.6	. 94
	19	2.9	1.0	.9	.90
	20*	3.13	1.55	1.72	.90
	21	4.03	.47	.5	.94
	22	5.61	.32	. 38	.84
	23	6.6	.40	.41	. 98
	24	8.54	.12	.13	. 92
• •	25	10.27	.098	.1	.98
	26	11.94	. 960	. 065	.92
	27	13.9	. 070	. 072	.97
		•			

Monitor Point

Radial Average Ratio: .93

wnwlb RATION 2.24-V/-

١.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW MTER. 19. VA. 26024 NEW CUMBERLAND, W. VA. 26047 P. O. BOX 151 JAMES A. JORDAN P. O. BOX 638 PHONE 804 - 887-1013 PHONE 304 - B64-ETET RENCE L. MANYPENNY TO JIM TREFNEY DATE WLIT Radio 1 Steubenville, Ohio 43952 DATE 11/10/81 DEAR MR TREENRY I RECEIVED YOUR MESSAGE TO PHONE M PAILEY I LAW SEE NO REASON to do that OUR POSITION IS THAT, IN THE EUTURE, THERE IS NOT TO DE ANY PARTY FROW WLIT ENTERING ON THE VONLEY PROPERTY IF CATRY is MADE. WARRENTS FOR TRESPASS will bE ISSUED + ABRESTS MADE. PLEASE AVOID ANY FUTURE PRODUCING BY RESPECTING THE YOU REVE REQUEST WAILABLE FROM GRATARC CO., HIC. THIS COPY FOR PERSON ADDRESSED



All previous edition of this form are canceled.

	• >			•
FCC Form 302				Section I, Page 2
FINANCIAL DA , 5. (a) Attach a Exhibit No. No. a d on file with request in th tion in finan	TA (Continued) detailed balance s (b) If the act etailed statement s the Commission an is application is fo cial position has o	heet, as at the completion date of i ual cost of construction materially showing the plan used to finance su Annual Financial Report (FCC Fo or a change in existing facilities, to courred.)	he authorized cons exceeds the origina ich construction, (1 mm 324) showing its nese exhibits need DT V	truction, showing applicant's financial position as al estimated cost of construction, attach as Exhibit If applicant is licensee of a broadcast station having financial position within the past 12 months and the not be supplied provided that no substantial reduc-
6. State change	s, if any, in capita	LUED NUL API lization, and report any contracts a	ffecting ownership	not shown in the application for construction permit.
(If none, so :	state)	DOES NOT APPLY	7	
7. Apart from th set forth in th If "No", stat	e apparatus construite above-described e exceptions.	acted, have all the terms, condition application for construction permit	s, and obligations been fully met?	Yes No
		DOES NOT APPLY	7	
6. Is a request :	for authority to con	duct program tests a part of this ap	plication?	Yes No
THE AP power of the zation in acc	PLICANT hereby United States bec ordance with this	waives any claim to the use of cause of the previous use of the application. (See Section 304	f any particular fr same, whether b of the Communica	requency or of the ether as against the regulatory y license or otherwise, and requests an authori- ations Act of 1934).
THE API ination on any THE API	PLICANT repress other applicatio PLICANT acknow	ents that this application is not n with which it may be in confl dedges that all the statements	filed for the purp ict. made in this appli	pose of impeding, obstructing, or delaying determ ication and attached exhibits are considered ma-
application.	itations, and that	all the exhibits are a material	part hereof and a	re incorporated herein as if set out in full in the
		CERTI	FICATION	
WILLFUL FA FORM ARE F MENT. U.S.	od faith. LSE STATEMENTS PUNISHABLE BY F CODE, TITLE 18,	S MADE ON THIS TNE AND IMPRISON- SECTION 1001.	Signed and	Inporary Communications, Inc.
			Bx Pr	(SIGNATURE) Cesident
			Title	
EXHIBITS fumi	shed as required by	this form:		T
Exhibit No. S	ection and Para. No. of Form	Name of officer or employee (1) under whose direction exhibit (show which)	by whom or (2) : was prepared	Official title
A		Thomas Hamilton		Consulting Engineer
В		Raymond Reich		President & Technical Directo
			-	
•				

/

Broadcast	Applicat	lion		FEDERA			TO MALSSI	אר			Section II	- 4
LICENSE /	APPLICA	TION ENGIN	EERING		of applicant	Contemp	0129157	<u></u>	- 		Section II	
2	STANDA	RD BROADC	AST	Com	unicatio	ns, Inc.	OLALY					
Purpose o	fauthor	ization appl	ied for:			7. Operat	ing constar	nts: (If dir	ectional :	system, g	ive current	at
(Check one)				Point o RE.com	man point	e measurer	nent.)					
						current	without m	odulation	cu	rrent with	out modula	ntion
C Stati	An swer paragraph s				tor nigi	ht power in	amperes	for	day powe	er in amper –	res	
Direct measurement of power 2,6,7,8,9,14			Actual				4.6	5				
			commos	n point resi	istance (in	- AC - CO	tual meas mmon poir	ured anten it reactanc	ina or e (in			
			ohms) a	at operating	g frequency 50	oh	ms) at ope	erating free	ivency A			
l Eaciliti	• <i>author</i>	irad in case				Night_	D	ay	- <u>Nig</u>	ght	Doy	.,
Coll S	ign	File No. of	constructi	ion permit			Phase	reading	Anten	peration	I Pamota	indication
WL	.IT	N/	A	F			in de	grees	Curr	ent	of antenr	na current
Frequency	Hou	u rs of operati	on	Power in	n kilowatts	-	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day
050	De			Night	Day	Tower						
	va.	ytime			1	1-SW		0		1.4	1	100
2. Station (ocation					2-NV		140		2.1	<u> </u>	81
State	Oh	io	City or	town		3-SE .		· 2		2.0	1	83
3. Transmit	tter locat	tion	Steu	Derivitite		- 4-NE		148		2.1		58
State			County	• <u>•</u> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	****	-					1	
	W. V:	irginia	Hance	ock		Manufacture	er and type	of antenno	monitor:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
City or 7	Fown		Street A	ddress (or of	her identi-	Potomoo	Trata	mont	M TO	(204)		
Jeirton			Finm	ficat	ion)	Potomac Instrument AM-19 (21/4)						[
					TOTT	Uescribe equipment used for remote indication of antenna currents (antenna monitor or other method)					rents	
4. Main stu	dio locat	ion				See attached text						
State	Obio		County			1						
City of T	City of Town		8. Description of antenna system No change									
Steuber	ville		2620 \$	Sunset B	lvd.	(If directional antenna is used, the information requested below should					w should	
5. Remote c	control po	oint location (only If aut	horized)		sary. Heigh	ht figures s	hould not i	nclude of	se separa bstruction	lighting.)	T neces-
State			City or	town		Type rediat	or		Heigh	t in feet o	of complete	
	Ohio		Steube	enville					or abo	ve base i	f grounded	•
51700 Sume	et R1	other identif	ication)								1. A.	
6. Transmit	ter Instal	lled				·						·
Make	1	Type No.		Rated Po	ower	Overall heig around, (with)ht in feet : hout obstru	abave action	If ante	If antenna is either top loaded or		
Gates	<u> </u>	BC-1H	<u>11</u>	1KW		lighting)			EXHIBIT			arry 03
Last radi	o stage					E					·	
		Total unn alata (nodulated wrrent	Plate	voltage			Jeri		. Snunt.		
_		pidio (For direction	coordinates nal entenno	s to neores: s give coori	t second. dinates o	f center a	formay.	
Night						For single v	ertical rad	iator give t	ower loca	ation.		
Nav			_			North latitud	ie o	• •	Westl	ongitude	° '	**
			.48	2900)	· No c	hange					
	• .			•		If not fully d	lescribe ab	ove, give f	urther det	ails and a	limensions	
Manutacturer for the last r	adio freq	nended opera vency amplifi	ting etticie er stage ir	n percent.	77%	tion circuits	y other ant as EXHIB	ennos mour IT	ited on to	wer and a	ssociated	isola-
Is inverse fo	edback u	tilized?	۲«	•s 🔲 No		Details and	dimensions	of ground	system:	(Attach s	ketch as	
If "Yes", to	what val	ue of feedbac	:k -)			EXHIBIT	if ne	ecessary fo	r complet	e descrip	tion).	
hower is trou	ami 1101 0	rotoznecin di	<i>,</i>			No chan	00A					
Efficiency of	the last	radio frequer (cy amplifi	er ว			ree E					
arage as now	20102190	(1	ise formula		(100)%							
	77.67	7		E l								

.

•

€

/	• >			•
	Broadcast Application	STANDARD BROADCA	ST ENGINEERING DATA	Section II-A, Page 2
/	9. Antenna resistance measurer	nent		. <u> </u>
/ .	Attach as Exhibit No. A	the following:		
	a. Qualifications of persons t	aking measurements.	d. Manufacturer's name of each	calibrated instrument used
	 b. Schematic diagram showing coupling circuits, point of location of antenna ammete characteristics of all tower circuits, static drains, and etc. connected to or suppor cluding other antennas and c. Full description of method 	g clearly all components of resistance measurement, er, connection to and r lighting isolation any other fixtures, lines rted by the antenna, in- associated circuits. used to make measurements.	 e. Date, accuracy, and by whom calibrated. f. Table of complete data taken. g. The graph drawn of 10 to 12 r kilohertz wide with the operation center. 	each instrument was last eadings in a band 50 to 60 ting frequency near the
	10. Modulation monitor		13. In what respect, if any does the	e apparatus constructed
	Make BELAR	AMM-1	permit or in the permit?	application for construction
	11. Frequency measurements Give the following data on the	checks of the frequency	-	
\frown	Date and Time	Frequency measured by such agency or method	- -	
\bigcirc	1. 7/29 8:43Am	950.0008	-	
	2.			
	3.			
	Name of checking agency or meth WOODWARD MEASUREMI 12. Give method of varying powe	od used ENTS r to compensate for variation	14. Give reason for the change in a resistance.	antenna or common point
	NO CHANGE	E		
\bigcirc				
().				
	I certify that I represent the appl of technical information and that	icant in the capacity indicated it is true to the best of my kno	below and that I have examined the fo wledge and belief.	pregoing statement
	JULY 29, 1981	-	Signature Director	ato box bolow)
	Telephone 803/775-2321 (include Area Code)		Consultant Chief Operator	cet
			. · · · ·	

STATE OF SOUTH CAPOLINA COUNTY OF SUMIER

RAYMOND F. REICH, being duly sworn, deposes and states that he is an engineer in the employee of Contemporary Communications, Inc., Steubenville, Ohio; that he is a holder of a Radio-Telephone first class license number P1-29-19552; that he has been actively engaged in broadcast engineering for the past two years. He states that Field Intensity Measurements were made by him and that all facts concerning these measurements are true of his own knowledge except to statements of information or belief and as to such statements, believes them to be true.

REICH

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th day of July, 1981.

My commission expiring Commission Expires April 25, 1990

ENGINEERING STATEMENT

In April, 1979, towers numbers one and two of Radio Station WLIT, Steubenville, Ohio were destroyed in a wind storm. The original towers were Rohn Model 45, however, the new tower that was errected was Rohn Model 55, a larger face tower. Electronic Services Compant, the contractor choosen to re-erect the towers, also completely re-furbished the remaining two towers, replacing insulators, etc.

As a result of the new larger towers and the refurbishing, it became necessary to re-tune the array to bring the pattern back to the licensed limits. During this re-tuning process, WLIT has operated with parameters at variance.

A partial proof of performance has been completed and is submitted as a part of this filing. Based upon the data contained herein, it is requested that WLIT be licensed with the new parameters as indicated.

All field intensity measurements were made by Raymond F. Reich and Calvin E. Dailey, Jr, both First Class Licensed Broadcast Engineers, during the period of April 27, 1981 to May 12, 1981. Field Intensity Meters used were Nems Clark Model 120E, last calibrated by Potomac Instruments on 8/9/77 and an RCA WX/2C calibrated on 3/21/80 by Potomac Instruments Company.

The Common Point Impedance was returned to it's original value of 50 ohms, however, we still elected to have a sweep of the Common Point conducted. A copy of that report is included. See Exhibit A.

÷.

It was noted that during the partial proof of performance, a great amount of re-radiation was experienced on two points of the 269.6° radial. It is believed that this re-radiation is the result of a newly errected power sub-station adjacent to the radial. It is therefore requested that the monitor point on the 269.6° radial be re-assigned from point 19 to point 20. A photograph of the proposed new point and directions on it's location are included in this filing. See Exhibit "B".

EXHIBIT "A"

DIRECTIONAL ANTENNA

COMMON POINT IMPEDANCE

WLIT

950 KH₂

1 KW DAA Daytime

Weirton, West Virginia

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to present the results of resistance and reactance measurements made on the Directional Antenna Common Point of WLIT at the request of the management, for the purpose of partially fulfilling the requirements of a partial proof of performance required by the Federal Communications Commission (73.54).

All measurements were made by Thomas O. Hamilton and assisted by Calvin Dailey on the morning of August 15, 1981.

Directional Antenna

Common Point Impedance

WLIT 1 KW August 14 950 KH_z Daytime 1981

EQUIPMENT AND CALIBRATION

The equipment used in making these measurements consisted of a Delta Electronics OIB-1, serial number 690. The manufacturer's rated accuracy of this bridge is \pm 5% or \pm 1 ohm for resistance and reactance. The accuracy was also checked before making measurement by means of a standard of resistance and reactance immediately prior to making common point measurements and it was found that the bridge's accuracy was greater than \pm 1 ohm at measured frequencies.

The radio frequency driver was a custom Phase Lock-Loop Generator with a rated output of 10 watts and an accuracy of ± 5 hertz sinusoidal. This generator was specifically designed with 5 kilohertz steps for antenna impedance measurements. The generator was isolated and monitored by a Heath Frequency Counter IM-4120, serial number 00722, for consistant accuracy.

The radio frequency detector was a Hewlett-Packard HP400H, serial number 048-12543. The manufacturer's rated accuracy is 10 hertz to 4 megahertz. This device was also checked for accuracy prior to the actual common point measurements.

PROCEDURE:

The antenna circuit was opened at the common point amp meter and the unknown terminals of the bridge were connected to the circuit at this point and to the nearest ground. Measurements were made every 5 kilohertz from 920 to 980 kilohertz, inclusive. The OIB-1 bridge permits the direct measurement of operating impedances with an external detector.

The initial balance was obtained with the "unknown" terminals shorted at their point of connection in the circuit. With the short removed, the bridge again balanced by adjusting the resistance and reactance dials.

An inspection of the curve in Figure 2 will show that the common point resistance of WLIT at the operating frequency of 950 kilohertz is 50.0 ohms resistance and $\pm J \not$ ohms reactance.

State of Ohio) County of Washington)

Thomas O. Hamilton, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is a qualified and experienced radio engineer; that he has been retained by Raymond Reich and Calvin Dailey, owners of radio station WLIT, for the purpose of preparing an engineering report showing the results of antenna system common point resistance and reactance measurements made upon WLIT in Weirton, West Virginia; that such material was prepared by him or under his direction and is attached hereto; that the data submitted is true of his own knowledge except as to statements of information or belief and as to such statements believes them to be true.

Respectfully submitted,

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{1}{1}$ th day of September 1981.



R= Read directly from dial	Frequen cy	Resistance	Reactance
X= .95(dial reading)	(KH _Z)	(Ohms)	(Ohms)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	920	36	+3.68
	925	38	+3.24
	930	40	+3.26
	935	41.5	+2.81
	940	43.5	+2.81
	945	48	+1.89
	950	50	0.00
	955	51	-4.30
	960	52	-12.48
	965	51	-18.82
	970	43	-24.25
	975	35	-23.40
	980	30	-20.58

	FIG. 2 DIRECTIONAL ANTENNA	
WLIT 950 KH _z	1 Kw. DAYTIME	Weirton WV
Thomas O.	Hamilton Lowe August 15, 1981	ll, Ohio



EXHIBIT B

269.6° MONITOR Person (PROPOSED NEW POIL)

FROM TRANSMITTED REFLICING, PROCEED .01 (1.4 ON CONCLL STREET, TORN LEFT AND PROCEED .46 MILE ON FULLEON FORMAT. TURN RIGHT AND PROCEED 1.1 MILTS ON TURKEYFOOD AND HOAD. TORN LEFT AND PROCEED 1.8 MILES ON WYLLE REDGE ROAD TO THE JUNCTION OF ROUTE 2. TURN LEFT AND PROCEED ON POUTE 2. TO THE ASCENTOR OF ONEO RT. 7 NOFTH. TURN RIGHT, AND PROCEED TO THE ASCENTOR OF ONEO RT. 7 NOFTH. TURN RIGHT, AND PROCEED TO THE FLORE AND PROCEED 1.0 NILE TO DEFT AND PROCEED 1.4 MILES, TURN LEFT AND PROCEED 1.0 NILE TO FONTOR POINT. POINT IS LOCATED TO THE AND REST TRANSMISSION DERVICE.

MONITOR POINT READINGS

RADIAL	POINT NUMBER	1981 READING	MAXIMUM LIMIT
20 ⁰	15	9.4MV/m	11.5MV/m
89.6 ⁰	13	4.6	5.2
130.4 ⁰	20	1.5	2.9
269.6 ⁰	20*	1.35	
346.4 ⁰	20	1.55	1.9

* Proposed new monitor point

r

Field	Intensity Measurements - 2	20 ⁰ Radial	Date:	4/27/81
POINT	DISTANCE	<u>1981</u>	ORIGINAL 5000	RATIO
15*	2.0	9.4 MU/M	10-5 MV/M 7.5	.89
16	2.71	4.8	5.45 3.9	. 88
17	3.86	3.3	3.72 2.7	. 89
18	4.54	2.85	2.95 2.2	.97
19	5.13	1.5	1.65 1.1	.91
20	6.07	2.15	2.43 1.7	.88
21	7.10	1.95	1.17 -86	. 90
22	8.93	. 95	1.92 , 80	.93
23	10.4	.40	.47 .35	.85
24	12.1	.48	.52 . 14	. 92

.

TABLE 1

*Monitor Point

.

Overall Radial Average: .902

 \bigcirc

Field Intensity 1	Measurements - 55 ⁰ R	adial		Date:	4/28/81
POINT	DISTANCE	1981	ORIGINAL		RATIO
18	2.18	1.3	1.3 M V /M		1.0
19	3.37	1.0	.9		1,1
20	4.49	.7	.7		1.0
21	5.34	.5	. 45		1,1
22	6.81	.4	.45		.89
23	10.58	.12	.14		.86
24	12.57	.08	.086		. 93
25	14.41	.08	. 083		. 93
26	16.99	.07	. 08		.88
27	18.85	.04	.039		1.03

Radial Average Ratio: .972

.

l K .

Field Intensit	y Measurements	- 70 ⁰ Radial	Date: 4/28/81	and 4/29/81
POINT	DISTANCE	<u>1981</u>	ORIGINAL	RATIO
14	2.0	4.88 MU/M	5.68 M N /M	.86
15	2.39	4.2	4.9	.86
16	3.1	2.22	2.55	.87
17	4.16	1.62	1.7	.95
18	5.01	1.06	1.15	. 92
19	6.42	.76	.82	. 92
20	6.99	.7	.76	. 92
21	8.7	.52	. 55	. 95
22	10.53	.3	. 32	. 94
23	11.44	. 32	. 37	.86
24	14.03	.18	.17	1.06
				-

.

.

.

TABLE 3

Radial Average Ratio: 1.01

1999 B. 1999

.

. e

7

Field Intens:	ity Measurements -	89.6 ⁰	Date: 4/30/81 and	4 5/1/81
POINT	DISTANCE	1981	ORIGINAL	RATIO
12	2.0	5.6 MV/M	5.7 MV/M 4	. 98
13*	2.2	4.6	46 3.2	1.0
14	3.28	1.45	1.5 /	.97
15	3.73	1.82	1.85 1.35	, 98 _.
16	5.02	. 76	-76 53	1.0
17	5.41	. [∠] μ⁄4	.46 , 33	. 96
18	6.78	. 32	.33 ,24	.97
19	7.73	.26	.26 .19	1.0
20	8.63	.2	.2 .13	1.0
21	10.43	.12	1.07	1.2
22	11.83	. 09	.995 .068	. 95
Monitor Poir	ht-			

Radial	Average	Ratio	1 10
rautat.	Average	Nalio:	Τ.ΤΟ

Field Intensit	y Measurements -	114 Radial		Date:	5/1/81
POINT	DISTANCE	<u>1981</u>	ORIGINAL		RATIO
19	2.42	5.8	7.1		. 82
20	3.27	4.1	6.1		.67
21	3.57	2.0	2.8		.71
22	4.39	2.25	3.15		. 71
23	5.32	1.7	2.7		.63
24	6.23	. 83	1.0		. 83
25	7.38	.63	0.9		. 70
26	8.23	.42	0.55		. 76
27	10.23	. 32	0.37		. 86
28	11.92	.26	0.39	·	.67

Average Ratio: .74

1

1

Field Inte	nsity Measurements -	Radial 1800		Date:	5/4/81
POINT	DISTANCE	<u>1981</u>	ORIGINAL		RATIO
18	2.9	21.5	25 MV/M		.86
19	3.4	26	30		.87
20	4.16	22.8	25.5		.89
21	4.9	17.8	20	·	. 89
22	6.23	17	19.5		.87
23	6.83	11.2	12.6		. 89
24	7.7	11.4	12.7		.90
25	9.6	3.48	3.95		. 88
26	11.78	3.95	4.5		.88
27	12.6	3.30	3.85	••	.86

Radial Average Ratio: .879

Field Intensit	y Measurements	s - 200 Radial		Date: 5/4/81
POINT	DISTANCE	1981	ORIGINAL	RATIO
18	2.52	29.4	29	1.01
19	3.09	33	32.5	1.02
20	3.88	31.3	31	1.01
21	4.42	24.9	24.5	1.02 .
22	5.24	16.2	15.9	1.02
23	6.7	14.2	13.9	1.02
24	7.94	20.8	20.5	1.01
25	9.95	8.2	7.9	1.04
26	11.89	8.0	7.8	1.03
27	13.73	5.3	5.2	1.02

Radial Average Ratio: 1.02

.

Field Intensity	v Measurements:	220 Radial	Date	: 5/5/81
POINT	DISTANCE	<u>1981</u>	ORIGINAL	RATIO
21	2.23	131	120 80	1.09 1.61
22	4.94	33.8	28.5 21	1.19 1.60
23	5.5	21.4	17.9 12.5	1.2 1.71
24	6.43	14.8	12.5 9	1.19 1.64
25	7.67	13.1	10.9 7.6	1.2 1.72
26	8.98	12.4	12.0 7.4	1.13 1.67
27	9.63	10.6	2.5 6	1.18 <i>1</i> .76
28	12.03	5.8	50-3-1.	1.17 1.87
29	14.77	4.1	3.5 2.6	1.17 1.57
30	16.53	2.9	2.51.8	1.16 .6/

Radial Average Ratio: 1.17

 \sim

Field Intens	sity Measurements -	- 269.6 Radial	Date	: 5/6/81
POINT	DISTANCE	<u>1981</u>	ORIGINAL	RATIO
16	1.7	4.6	4.7 3.3	.98
17	1.8	4.0	4.1 7.9	. 98
18	2.31	4.2	2.75 2	1.53
19	2.73	2.6	201,35	1.3 ·
20	3.53	1.35	143	. 94
21	4.11	. 82	0.85.62	. 96
22	5.04	. 68	9.71 . 5	.96
23	5.99	. 24	0.26 .17	.92
24	7.43	. 20	02.14	1.0
25	8.42	.23	9.25 15	.92
26	9.59	.26	9.27.175	.96
27	11.23	.14	0.14	1.0
		1		

5 Y .-

*¥ ¹

Radial Average Ratio: .96

Field Inte	ensity Measurements -	346.4 Radial	Date:	5/12/81
POINT	DISTANCE	1981	ORIGINAL	RATIO
18	2.24	1.5	1.6 1.1	.94
19	2.9	1.0	9.64	. 90
20*	3.13	1.55	1.72 1.2	. 90
21	4.03	. 47	5.34	. 94
22	5.61	. 32	.38 .26	.84
23	6.6	.40	.42.27	. 98
24	8.54	.12	,13,093	.92
25	10.27	.098	J.07	. 98
26	11.94	.060	.065.042	. 92
27	13.9	.070	.072 .047	. 97

ţ

*Monitor Point

ب ب

7

Radial Average Ratio: .93



,1