FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Before the

Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

SINCERE SEVEN

Washington, DC

Application for Construction Permit For a Low Power FM Broadcast Station

Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau

File No. BNPL-20131114AYL Facility ID 195472

RECEIVED - FCC

JAN 12 2016

Federal Communications Commission Bureau / Office

TO OPPOSITION TO SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION RESPONSE OF RADIO ONE LICENSES, LLC

- grant of which was rescinded by the Audio Division by letter of November 30, 2015, ref. on December 29, 2015, submitted by Sincere Seven ("S7"). 1 Both pleadings seek reinstatement of Sincere Seven's above captioned application for construction permit ("Application"), the Supplement to Petition for Reconsideration", dated December 24, 2015, submitted by William 1800B3-EA/ATS L. Tucker. Jr. ("Tucker"), and the "Response to Petition for Reconsideration" served by mail Radio One Licenses, LLC ("Radio One") hereby responds to the "Opposition to
- the real party in interest in the Application at the time the Application was filed, because "S7's Based on statements by Tucker and S7 themselves, it appears that S7 was not

were received by counsel for Radio One on January 4, 2016. To the extent that S7 purports to ¹ The procedural posture of this proceeding is unclear. The pleadings of both S7 and Tucker deemed an Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration and is likewise timely. refer to the Audio Division's letter of November 30, 2015, and their filings are timely if deemed letter of August 19, 2014, it is far too late to be considered as an Opposition. Both pleadings respond to Radio One's September 11, 2014, Petition for Reconsideration of an Audio Division Petitions for Reconsideration of that action. The instant pleading by Radio One would then be

the radio station, then there is no basis for the Commission to grant it a construction permit.³ nonprofit organization; executive and operational authority over the project is the responsibility role in the LPFM station under the terms of the FSA is strictly a fiduciary as a sponsoring Tucker and WOOK-LP Radio."2 If S7 was not intended to have operational control of

- relevant and should have been reported in the Application.⁵ apropros [sic] of, and consistent with, positions bearing the title of 'President' or 'CEO' with a signed official documents and otherwise acted on behalf of S7 as Executive Director, again agrees that he is Executive Director of S7 and functions as its chief executive, because he "has traditional nonprofit organization."4 he is not a member of the board of directors or an "officer" in the traditional definition, he ω In addition, it appears that Perry Redd is a principal of S7. While he states that If he functions as President/CEO, his conviction was
- its construction permit to WOOK-LP Radio, the entity which claims to be in operational control, It is not possible at this time for S7 to straighten out the confusion by assigning

{00875508-1}

² Tucker Opposition at p. 1

radio station. the letter confirms that until January 23, 2015, WOOK-LP Radio was intended to control the relationship between the organizations. WOOK-LP Radio was in control on the date when the Application was filed; on the contrary, Exhibit 8 to S7's Response is a letter from S7 to WOOK-LP Radio, terminating the However, that letter does not refute the fact that

role is to raise and manage funds for WOOK-LP Radio S7 Response at p. 2. The Fiscal Sponsorship Agreement submitted by S7 confirms that S7's

disqualification for the applicant, but they do not resolve the question of failure to report the Redd's rehabilitation may go to the question of whether the conviction should be an absolute conviction in the Application S7's arguments relating to the degree of seriousness of the conviction and the extent of Mr.

assignment or transfer of control of an LPFM construction permit at any time because the radio station is unbuilt, and Section 73.865(d) of the Commission's rules forbids

- the District of Columbia was provided with respect to only S7, not WOOK-LP Radio an FCC authorization. See Cocoa Minority Educational Media Association, FCC 15-168, rel. Dec. 16, 2015.⁶ All previously supplied information regarding unincorporated associations in Radio at the time of the Application was, or currently is, a legal entity that is qualified to hold 73.865(d), the Application could not be granted, because there is no evidence that WOOK-LP 73.865(d) in this case; but even if the Commission were willing to consider a waiver of Section S There are no extraordinary circumstances justifying a waiver of Section
- dismissal of the application must stand assigned or transferred, and WOOK-LP Radio has not established its legal qualifications, the Since S7 is not the real party in interest, the construction permit cannot be

Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C 1300 N. 17th St., 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22209-3801 Tel. 703-812-0404 Fax 703-812-0486

Fax 703-812-0486 E-mail: <u>tannenwald@fhhlaw.com</u>

January 12, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Tannenwald

Counsel for Radio One Licenses, LLC

applicant] provided no such information." is registered or otherwise recognized and the date of such registration or recognition.' 6 ". 'If the applicant is unincorporated, the [eligibility] exhibit must include the state in which it Cocoa at par. 2.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

to Petition for Reconsideration and Response to Petition for Reconsideration" to the following: copies of the foregoing "Response of Radio One Licenses, LLC to Opposition to Supplement I, Evelyn M. Ojea, do hereby certify that I have, this 12th day of January, 2016, caused

William L. Tucker, Jr., Esq. WOOK Radio DC 2000 Half St., S.W. Washington, DC 20024-3304

Mr. Perry D. Redd Sincere Seven 422 Marietta Place, N.W. Washington, DC 20011-2143

Federal Communications Commission Alexander.sanjenis@fcc.gov Alexander Sanjenis, Esq. (to be sent by e-mail on January 13, 2016)

Washington, DC 20554

Evelyn M Ojea