



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

May 8, 2015

In Reply Refer to:
1800B3-ATS

Mr. Byron K. Ward
The Community Advisory Coalition of Ventura County
450 N. K Street, Room 213
P.O. Box 5383
Oxnard, CA 93031

In re: The Community Advisory Coalition of Ventura
County
New LPFM, Oxnard, California
Facility ID No. 195126
BNPL-20131113AKO

Letter of Inquiry – Response Requested

Dear Mr. Ward:

This letter refers to the above-referenced application (“Application”) filed by The Community Advisory Coalition of Ventura County (“Community”) for a new LPFM station at Oxnard, California. We are in receipt of a Petition to Deny (“Petition”) the Application filed by La Iglesia Cristiana de Oxnard (“Iglesia”), on August 16, 2014.¹ The Petition, filed electronically with the Commission, indicates that it was served on you by mail. No response has been received. We are hereby serving you an additional copy of the Petition.

The Application identified Alonzo McCowan as one of Community’s officers with 16.6% of its board’s votes.² Iglesia filed the Petition on August 16, 2014, in which it alleges that Mr. McCowan is a convicted felon and thus lacks the character qualifications to hold an interest in an LPFM station.³ Iglesia further argues that Community falsely certified in the Application that no adverse findings had been made against any party to the Application in any criminal proceeding involving a felony.⁴ Iglesia thus requests that the Commission dismiss the Application.

We direct Community, pursuant to Sections 154(i), 154(j), 308(b) and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,⁵ to (a) provide a response to the allegations raised in the Petition, and (b) provide a complete list of the names and addresses of Community’s officers and board of directors (i) as of November 13, 2013, when Community filed the Application, and (ii) as of the date of Community’s response to this letter. Community must support its response with an affidavit or declaration under penalty of perjury, signed and dated by an authorized officer with personal knowledge of the representations provided in Community’s response, verifying the truth and accuracy of the information therein and that all of the information requested by this letter which are in the possession,

¹ See *Broadcast Applications*, Public Notice, Report No. 28307 (MB Aug. 20, 2014).

² Application at Section II, Question 3. The identity of Community’s officers and board of directors is somewhat unclear from the Application, as the parties to the Application are all listed as officers, but also listed as having votes as directors.

³ Petition at 1-5.

⁴ *Id.* at 5-8. See also Application at Section II, Question 7.

⁵ 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 308(b), and 403.

custody, control or knowledge of Community (including each of its officers and directors) have been produced. If multiple Community representatives contribute to the response, in addition to such general affidavit or declaration of the authorized officer of Community noted above, if such officer (or any other affiant or declarant) is relying on the personal knowledge of any other individual, rather than his or her own knowledge, provide separate affidavits or declarations of each such individual with personal knowledge that identify clearly to which responses the affiant or declarant with such personal knowledge is attesting. All such declarations provided must comply with Section 1.16 of the Rules,⁶ and be substantially in the form set forth therein. To knowingly and willfully make any false statement or conceal any material fact in reply to this inquiry is punishable by fine or imprisonment.⁷ Failure to respond appropriately to this Media Bureau letter of inquiry on or before the due date may constitute a violation of the Communications Act and our Rules, and it will result in the dismissal of the Application pursuant to Section 73.3568(a)(1).⁸

Please respond within **thirty (30) days** of the date of this letter, submitting the response via the Commission's electronic database, CDBS, or by mail with the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20554.⁹ Please also serve a copy of the response by mail on Dan J. Alpert, Esq., The Law Office of Dan J. Alpert, 2120 N. 21st Road, Arlington, VA 22201, and Alexander Sanjenis, Esq., Room 2-B115, 445 12th Street S.W., Washington, DC 20054, or by electronic mail at alexander.sanjenis@fcc.gov. Iglesia may submit a responsive pleading within ten days of the filing of your response.

We strongly remind Iglesia and Community that pursuant to the Commission's *ex parte* rules, each party to this proceeding must serve all other parties with copies of all submissions in the proceeding.

Sincerely,



Peter H. Doyle
Chief, Audio Division
Media Bureau

cc: Dan J. Alpert, Esq.
The Law Office of Dan J. Alpert
2120 N. 21st Road
Arlington, VA 22201

Enclosure

⁶ 47 C.F.R. § 1.16.

⁷ See 18 U.S.C. § 1001; see also 47 C.F.R. § 1.17.

⁸ 47 C.F.R. § 73.3568(a)(1).

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 0.401(a)(1). See also Electronic and Hard Copy Filings Address, available at <http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/electronic-and-hard-copy-filing-address>; *Media Bureau Expands CDBS Features to Permit the Electronic Filing of Pleadings*, Public Notice, 27 FCC Rcd 7579 (MB 2012).