From: Gary Smithwick
To: Dale Bickel
Cc: Gil Moor
Subject: Re: Question on BSTA-20150410ABF, WBHQ, Flagler Beach, FL
Date: Thursday, April 23, 2015 9:41:08 AM

Dale ,
Last night we filed an application for license to cover the construction permit for WBHQ.
The station is operating with 1/2 power from the CP site. Therefore, the STA will no longer be necessary.
Thanks for you help,
Gary
--sent from my iPhone
Gary S. Smithwick, Esq.
Smithwick & Belendiuk, PC
Washington
202-363-4560
May contain Attorney/client communications/
If received in error,please delete

On Apr 22, 2015, at 11:33 AM, Dale Bickel <Dale.Bickel@fcc.gov> wrote:

Perfect and thanks! Dale

From: Gil Moor [mailto:gil@bromocom.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 22, 2015 11:11 AM
To: Dale Bickel; 'Gary Smithwick'
Subject: RE: Question on BSTA-20150410ABF, WBHQ, Flagler Beach, FL

Dale,

The current WBHQ directional antenna is to be relocated to the new site. Shively has made a new directional proof of performance for the new site using the same antenna. The antenna can only be in one location at a time. We want to operate the station with temporary facilities while the new main station antenna and transmitter are being relocated to the new site.

Gil Moor
Bromo Communications, Inc.

From: Dale Bickel [mailto:Dale.Bickel@fcc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 22, 2015 9:47 AM
To: Gary Smithwick (gsmithwick@fccworld.com)
Cc: 'gil@bromocom.com'
Subject: Question on BSTA-20150410ABF, WBHQ, Flagler Beach, FL

Gil and Gary:

The STA request doesn't explain why the licensee can't continue operations as licensed. Could you explain why this STA is needed?

Thanks, Dale Bickel dale.bickel@fcc.gov