FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 445 TWELFTH STREET, SW WASHINGTON, DC 20554

MEDIA BUREAU AUDIO DIVISION

APPLICATION STATUS: (202) 418-2730 HOME PAGE: www.fcc.gov/mb/audio/

JUN 0 6 2012

ENGINEER: GARY A. LOEHRS TELEPHONE: (202) 418-2700 FACSIMILE: (202) 418-1410/1411

MAIL STOP: 1800B3

INTERNET ADDRESS: Gary.Loehrs@fcc.gov

California Black Chamber of Commerce 2951 Sunrise Blvd #175 Rancho Cordova, CA 95742

Re: KDEE-LP, Sacramento, CA
Facility ID No. 123915
California Black Chamber of Commerce
File No. BMPL-20111220AGC

Dear Applicant:

This letter refers to the above-captioned application for a minor modification to a construction permit. KDEE-LP also requests waiver of the minor change relocation distance provisions of 47 C.F.R. § 73.870. For the reasons stated below, we deny KDEE-LP's waiver request and dismiss the application.

Waiver Request

An engineering study of the application reveals that the modification proposes to relocate the transmitter 23 kilometers away from that currently authorized. The *Second Report & Order* in MM Docket 99-25 established the definition of a "minor change" as it applies to LPFM applications.¹ The Commission stated that minor change applications would be limited to those specifying changes in site location of 5.6 kilometers or less. Thus, the application specifying a 23 kilometer change in site location violates 47 C.F.R. § 73.870. KDEE-LP recognizes this violation and requests waiver of the minor change distance provisions of § 73.870.

In support of the waiver request, the applicant states that they are required to vacate the current site as a result of foreclosure of the property. KDEE-LP considered four possible locations, all beyond the 5.6 kilometers limit. KDEE-LP claims that three of the possible sites are not financially feasible.

Discussion

The record before us does not present unique circumstances sufficient to justify grant of the waiver request. KDEE-LP has not cited any cases where the Commission has waived § 73.870 for similar reasons. The financial argument presented by KDEE-LP is not compelling. Furthermore, the Commission generally does not waive its rules for financial reasons. Accordingly, we conclude that waiver of § 73.870 is not warranted in this case.

When evaluating a request to relocate beyond that which is allowed as a minor change, we typically look at the availability of registered antenna structures within the allowed 5.6 kilometer radius. In this instance, a study using a 6 kilometer radius distance produced nineteen possible antenna locations. The registered structure search does not indicate the only possible locations, but only serves as a guide for the staff to determine the validity of a waiver request. LPFM stations have much more flexible siting

¹ See In the Matter of Creation of a Low Power Radio Service, Second Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 05-75, (rel. March 17, 2005).

alternatives available when compared to full service stations. LPFM station antennas are not required to locate on a registered structure and quite often are located on buildings, poles, and other non-registered structures. Therefore, it is our determination that KDEE-LP has not provided sufficient analysis within the allowed minor change distance area to show the unavailability of compliant locations.

Conclusion

The Commission's rules may be waived only for good cause shown.² An applicant seeking a rule waiver has the burden to plead with particularity the facts and circumstances that warrant such action.³ The Commission must give waiver requests "a hard look," but an applicant for waiver "faces a high hurdle even at the starting gate" and must support its waiver request with a compelling showing.⁵ The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest.⁶ In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis.⁷ However, waiver of the Commission's rules is appropriate only if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public interest.⁸ KDEE-LP's request fails to present good cause for waiver of § 73.870. KDEE-LP has not shown sufficiently unique "special" circumstances, *i.e.*, rare and exceptional circumstances beyond its control to justify a waiver of § 73.870. Finally, we find that the facts and circumstances set forth in the justification are insufficient to establish that granting waiver of § 73.870 would be in the public interest.

Thus the request for waiver of 47 C.F.R. § 73.870 IS HEREBY DENIED and application BMPL-20111220AGC IS HEREBY DISMISSED. This action is taken pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 0.283.

Sincerely,

Edna V. Prado

Edna V. Prado Supervisory Engineer Audio Division Media Bureau

cc: Frontis Abney

² 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

³ See Columbia Communications Corp. v. FCC, 832.F.2d 189, 192 (D.C. Cir, 1987) (citing Rio Grande Family Radio Fellowship, Inc. v. FCC, 406 F.2d 644, 666 (D.C. Cir. 1968)).

⁴ See WAIT Radio v. FCC, 418 F.2d 1153, 1157 (D.C. Cir. 1969), aff'd, 459 F.2d 1203 (1972), cert. denied, 93 S.Ct. 461 (1972) ("WAIT Radio"). See also Thomas Radio v. FCC, 716 F.2d 921, 924 (D.C. Cir. 1983).

⁵ Greater Media Radio Co., Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 15 FCC Rcd 7090 (1999) (citing Stoner Broadcasting System, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 49 FCC 2d 1011, 1012 (1974)).

⁶ Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) ("Northeast Cellular").

⁷ WAIT Radio, 418 F.2d at 1159; Northeast Cellular, 897 F.2d at 1166.

⁸ Network IP, LLC v. FCC, 548 F.3d 116, 125-128 (D.C. Cir. 2008) ("Network IP"); Northeast Cellular, 897 F.2d at 1166.