From: Joyce Bernstein
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 9:55 AM
To: 'Nancy Schrecongost'
Cc: Hossein Hashemzadeh; Adrienne Denysyk
Subject: WLLS-LP, Indiana, Pennsylvania, Facility ID No. 208

Dear Ms. Schrencongost:

By letters dated February 10, 2012 and March 28, 2012, the Video Division requested information regarding the failure of Class A television station WLLS-LP, Indiana, Pennsylvania (Facility ID No. 208) to make the required Form 398 electronic filings (Children's Television Programming Report) for any of the quarters in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. In your response below, you now inform the Commission that the station has been dark for over 21 months.

Section 312(g) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 312(g)), provides that "If a broadcasting station fails to transmit broadcast signals for any consecutive 12-month period, then the station license granted for the operation of that broadcast station expires at the end of that period, notwithstanding any provision, term, or condition of the license to the contrary . . .." Accordingly, the license for WLLS-LP IS CANCELLED, the call sign IS DELETED and the pending application for renewal of license (BRTTA-20070402KUF) IS DISMISSED.

Sincerely,

Joyce L. Bernstein

Attorney-Advisor

Video Division, Media Bureau

From: Nancy Schrecongost [mailto:nance088@yahoo.com] Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 1:54 AM To: Joyce Bernstein Cc: Hossein Hashemzadeh Subject: WLLS-LP, Indiana, Pennsylvania, Facility ID No. 208

Dear Ms. Bernstein:

In response to a letter dated March 28, 2012, from Mr. Hossein Hashemzadeh, I write to inform you that I did not receive a copy of your letter dated February

10 from the Video Services Division. His letter of the later date was the first notice I've received from the Commission in regard to this matter.

As you may know, my husband, Larry L. Schrecongost, passed away in June of 2010. Shortly after his death, I filed notice with the Commission to transfer the license to my name and to notify the Commission that station WLLS-LP had been taken dark. Because of the FCC's absolute failure to act on the renewal of the station license, I was unable to sell the station prior to or after my husband's death because of the up-in-the-air status of the license – a situation that has existed for several years and is due solely to the Commission's failure to act. Needless to say, this caused enormous financial distress to our company and to us personally.

As to the filing, or lack thereof, of Form 398, please be assured, while on the air, we carried Network One programming, which provides children's programming far in excess of the Commission's mandated requirements. Whether or not the forms were filed, as the FCC claims, I have no knowledge. My husband and I were separated from 2004 until his demise. Prior to his death, my husband suffered from advanced hardening of the arteries - which ultimately contributed to his final heart attack, so I really have no idea what was or was not done in that regard.

As to the revision of WLLS-LP to a low power status, I do not care. Verizon Wireless courteously notified me before they commandeered the station's STL spectrum, free and under the guise of "emergency services." (Which, as an employee of that company, I know is nonsense. In an emergency, a cell network has a stronger chance of going down, despite planned redundancy, than a TV station). And, I figured the FCC will ultimately commandeer the balance of the station's spectrum for white space.

All in all, attempting to own and operate WLLS-LP has been a losing proposition. First, we were denied Must-Carry status because Adelphia Cable Company literally tested our signal with a coat hanger (pictures were submitted as proof to the Commission, but to no avail) and we were denied Must Carry, severely curtailing our ability to secure advertisers and financially impacting us. (Thank heavens for karma. As you know, the Rigas boys are now in jail and, unsurprisingly, made the list of Time Magazine's Ten Most Crooked CEOs. It was just unfortunate the Commission foolishly found in their favor). Then, CBS attempted an illegal take-over of our channel in their HDTV conversion, despite our Class A status, resulting, again, in obscene legal fees and court costs, and, finally, as a result of a complete lack of testosterone, the Commission failed to renew our license for several years.

So, Ms. Bernstein, if it were at all possible to sue to sue the Government, I would stand first in line to file suit against the FCC for not protecting and supporting its licensees and working to devalue the very license for which we paid annual fees. Please do not bother me again about silly FCC Forms. I don't

care what you do with WLLS-LP - which has been dark for 21 over months and is, consequently, a moot issue to begin with.

Sincerely,

Nancy W. Schrecongost