
From: James McLuckie
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2009 12:52 PM
To: 'Sadowsky, Shelley'; James Ballis
Cc: Hossein Hashemzadeh; Mohammad Habib; Matthew Urick; Stacey Ashton
Subject: RE: Mexican clearance issue relating to two STA requests for digital LPTV operations in Los Angeles

Ms. Sadowsky:

IB has no objection to the STA requests listed below until coordination of the CP applications can be completed with Mexico. The applications for the CP, BDISDTL-20090609ACK and BDISDTL-20081205AFB need prior approval from Mexico before they can be authorized. In addition, if the CP applications are rejected by Mexico or if Mexico objects to the STA operations, the STA's may have to cease operation or reduce facilities.

-- BSTA-20090722ABT for Station KTAV-LP to operate on Ch. 46D (licensee: Alma Vision Hispanic Network, Inc.)

-- BSTA-20090807ACM for K26GN to operate on Ch. 40D (licensee: Obidia Porras)

We'll contact you next week regarding the coordination status of the CP applications.

Thanks,
James

From: Sadowsky, Shelley [mailto:SadowskyS@dicksteinshapiro.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 2:45 PM
To: James Ballis
Cc: James McLuckie; Hossein Hashemzadeh; Mohammad Habib; Matthew Urick
Subject: Mexican clearance issue relating to two STA requests for digital LPTV operations in Los Angeles

Jimmy -

Per our conversation earlier today, I have attached copies of two of my clients' pending STA requests for digital LPTV operations in the greater Los Angeles area :

-- BSTA-20090722ABT for Station KTAV-LP to operate on Ch. 46D (licensee: Alma Vision Hispanic Network, Inc.)

-- BSTA-20090807ACM for K26GN to operate on Ch. 40D (licensee: Obidia Porras)

Please note that each licensee has a pending displacement modification application proposing the same facilities proposed in its STA request. The corresponding displacement application for KTAV-LP is BDISDTL-20090609ACK. The corresponding displacement application for K26GN is BDISDTL-20081205AFB. I assume that each application has been forwarded to Mexico for coordination. Is it possible to check on the status of the coordination request for BDISDTL-20081205AFB since that application was filed 8 months ago?

With regard to the STA requests, it was my understanding that in other similar situations involving STA requests - - i.e., where a licensee proposed a digital operation with coverage contours that do not exceed the coverage contours of the analog full-service station that previously occupied the channel - - Mexican clearance was either waived or expedited in some fashion. (Thus, you will see that each STA request notes that re-coordination with Mexico should not be required since the analog station with the larger "footprint" received such clearance.)

If Mexican concurrence is not needed for the STA requests, whether because it has already been obtained for the identical displacement application proposal or because, as indicated, the previous Mexican concurrence for the full-service station suffices, I would appreciate your letting me know. If Mexican concurrence is needed for the STA requests, would you let me know the status of the concurrence requests for the related displacement applications so that my client and I can attempt to expedite action by the Mexican government.

Anything you and your staff can do to assist on this would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks very much,

Shelley Sadowsky

Counsel

Dickstein Shapiro LLP

1825 Eye Street NW | Washington, DC 20006

Tel (202) 420-3042 | Fax (202) 379-9117

sadowskys@dicksteinshapiro.com

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

This e-mail message and any attached files are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. This communication may contain material protected by attorney-client, work product, or other privileges. If you are not the intended recipient or person responsible for delivering this confidential communication to the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, copying, or other distribution of this e-mail message and any attached files is strictly prohibited. Dickstein Shapiro reserves the right to monitor any communication that is created, received, or sent on its network. If you have received this confidential communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail message and permanently delete the original message.

To reply to our email administrator directly, send an email to postmaster@dicksteinshapiro.com

Dickstein Shapiro LLP
<http://www.DicksteinShapiro.com>

=====
=====